IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA WESTERN DIVISION

	NO. 5:06-CR-34-FL-1 NO. 5:11-CV-572-FL	
MAURICE ELLIOTT COX,)	
Petitioner,)	
v.)	ORDER
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
Respondent.)	

This matter comes before the court on petitioner's motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 to vacate, set aside, or correct sentence (DE # 39). On April 1, 2012, the government filed a motion to dismiss (DE #44), arguing that the petition was untimely filed. The motions were referred to magistrate judge, and the magistrate judge entered memorandum and recommendation (M&R) on August 23, 2012, recommending that the motion to vacate be denied and the motion to dismiss be granted. (DE #46). However, on August 30, 2012, petitioner filed an objection to the (M&R), and the government filed a response to the objection, in which the government waived its previously asserted timeliness defense, states that petitioner's claim is meritorious, and recommends that the court re-sentence petitioner without application of an armed career criminal enhancement. (DE # 48).

On July 18, 2006, petitioner pleaded guilty to felon in possession of a firearm, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 922(g)(1) and 924. The court sentenced petitioner on November 26, 2006, to a term of 180 months' imprisonment and a five-year period of supervised release, applying the Armed Career Criminal Act ("ACCA") career offender enhancement set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 924(e).

Judgment was entered November 29, 2006.

Petitioner now argues, and the government agrees, that in light of <u>United States v. Simmons</u>,

649 F.3d 237 (4th Cir. 2011) (en banc), petitioner is no longer eligible for the enhanced sentence

contemplated under the ACCA. The government states that petitioner's claim is meritorious, and the

court may reach the merits of petitioner's claim because the government has waived its previously

asserted untimeliness defense. According to the government, petitioner is subject to a statutory

maximum sentence of ten years, and the government suggests that the court order petitioner to be

resentenced.

In light of the government's waiver of defenses, filed after the (M&R) was entered, the court

REJECTS the recommendation of the magistrate judge (DE #46), GRANTS petitioner's motion to

vacate (DE#39), DENIES the government's motion to dismiss (DE #44), VACATES the judgment

entered November 29, 2006 (DE #27), DIRECTS the clerk of court to schedule and notice a re-

sentencing hearing for Wednesday, November 14, 2012, at 12:00 noon, at the United States

Courthouse in New Bern, North Carolina, and DIRECTS the United States Probation Office to

investigate, prepare, and publish to the appropriate parties a recalculation of petitioner's corrected

advisory guideline range. The government will ensure petitioner's timely writ, transportation and

housing for the re-sentencing hearing.

SO ORDERED, this the 29th day of October, 2012.

LOUISE W. FLANAGAN

Howir W. Llonegan

United States District Judge

2